Module 2: Hydrologic Cycle

Concept & Average Global Water Balance

CWR 3540: Water Resources Engineering FIU Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering Professor Fuentes

The Water Cycle





Figure 1.1.1a McGraw Hill









Figure 1.4.1 Images courtesy of NASA GSFC Scientific Visualization Studio and Landsat-7 Project

Module 2: Hydrologic Cycle & Elements

Conservation of Mass Principle Handout:

(See in course website: Study Materials/Additional Handouts/Module 1)

CWR 3540: Water Resources Engineering FIU Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering Professor Fuentes

Control Volume Approach: Hydrosystems





Drainage Basins, Watersheds, Catchments or Drainage Areas



Figure 7.1.11 © John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.

Sketch of a River Basin



Figure 7.1.1 © John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.

Airport Drainage Area: Example

Figure 17.10 Section of an airport.



Conservation of Mass Principle: Water Balance (or Budget) Equation(s)

• A general equation is Eq. 2.1: $P + Q_{SI} + Q_{GI} - E - Q_{SO} - Q_{GO} - \Delta s - n = 0$, for a ΔT (1 week, 1 year, etc.)

When either volumes, flow rates or mean depths for the ΔT are used

- For water bodies, short-duration (Eq. 2.2): $P + Q_{in} - E - Q_0 - \Delta s = 0$ If inflow and outflow terms in Eq. 2.1 are combined and n is "nil"
- For large basins for Long Duration (Eq. 2.3):
 P E Q₀ = 0
 If surface inflow, groundwater inflow and outflow terms in Eq. 2.1 an n are ignored or "nil"
- For direct runoff in a basin, over the ground surface, during a storm Eqs. 2.4,and2.5):
 P E I S_D R = 0 (Eq. 2.4)

If evaporation, interception and depression storage are much smaller than infiltration, Eq. 2.4 becomes. R = P - I (Eq. 2.5)

Discrepancy Term & Errors

Table 2.1Percent Errors in Hydrologic Components by Commonly UsedMethodologies

	Percer	nt Error
	Annual Estimate	Monthly Estimate
1. Precipitation		
Gage observation	2	2
Gage placement (height)	5	5
No windshield		20
Areal averaging	10	15
Gage density	13	20
2. Streamflow		
Current-meter measurement	5	5
Stage-discharge relationship	20	30
Channel bias	5	5
Regionalization of discharge	70	
3. Evaporation		
Energy budget	10	
Class A plan	10	10
Pan to lake coefficient	15	50
Areal averaging	15	15
Source: Based on Winter (1981).		

Module 2: Hydrologic Cycle

Precipitation

CWR 3540: Water Resources Engineering FIU Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering Professor Fuentes

Forms of Precipitation

TABLE 4.1 Forms of Precipitation

.

.

NAME	DESCRIPTION	SIZE		
Drizzle	Water droplets, low intensity (1 mm hr ⁻¹)	0.1-0.5 mm		
Rain	Water/drops Light: ≤2.5 mm hr ⁻¹ Moderate: 2.5–7.6 mm hr ⁻¹ Heavy: >7.6 mm hr ⁻¹	>0.5 mm		
Glaze	Ice coating, formed by freezing of rain or drizzle	Specific gravity ≈ 0.8		
Rime	Opaque, granular ice deposit	Specific gravity $\approx 0.2-0.3$		
Snow	ice crystals, hexagonal	Average specific gravity ≈ 0.1		
Hail	Balls, irregular ice fragments; convective in nature	5 to over 125 mm; specific gravity ≈ 0.8		
Ice pellets	Transparent, translucent ice	<5 mm		

Source: Adapted from R.K. Linsley, Jr., M.A. Kohler, and J.L.H. Paulhus, Hydrology for Engineers, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill. Copyright © 1982 by McGraw-Hill. Used by permission.

• • • •

Standard Rain Gage – Non-recording



Figure 12.1.6 Courtesy of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Agency

Weighing Bucket Rain Gage - Recording





Tipping Bucket Rain Gage (Exterior)



Figure 12.1.8b www.wikipedia.com

Example Rain Record and Hyetograph







SOURCE : McCuen, E. H., 3th Edition, 2005 (Rearson, Prentice-+ fall)

Rainfall Hyetographs

• Hyetograph:

Plots of rainfall depth or intensity as function of time

Examples of Hyetographs:

Depth or intensity versus time Cumulative (or "rainfall mass curve")



Figure 7.2.8 © John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.

Return Period & Probability of Exceedance of Hydrologic Events

•
$$T = 1/P_{\geq}$$

Precipitation Data Analysis (Mays, 2012) (See Example 2.6, Gupta 2017)

Mon Feb 02 21:45:19 2009

Average recurrence interval (years)		
1 2 5 10 25	-* - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	100 200 500 1000

902 							Preci	pitation	n Frequ	ency Es	timates	(in)						0.
ARI (years)	5 min	10 min	15 min	30 min	60 min	120 min	3 hr	6 hr	12 hr	24 hr	48 hr	4 day	7 day	10 day	20 day	30 day	45 day	60 day
1	0.38	0.60	0.73	0.97	1.18	1.38	1.48	1.77	2.04	2.39	2.74	3.15	3.66	4.13	5.55	6.96	8.73	10.4
2	0.46	0.71	0.88	1.17	1.44	1.68	1.81	2.16	2.48	2.91	3.32	3.77	4.36	4.89	6.56	8.20	10.24	12.2
5	0.55	0.85	1.05	1.43	1.80	2.12	2.30	2.78	3.17	3.72	4.21	4.67	5.28	5.88	7.73	9.49	11.65	13.9
10	0.62	0.96	1.18	1.63	2.08	2.47	2.68	3.30	3.75	4.39	4.93	5.40	6.02	6.69	8.64	10.46	12.69	15.2
25	0.71	1.09	1.34	1.90	2.46	2.94	3.21	4.05	4.58	5.37	5.99	6.46	7.06	7.84	9.88	11.70	13.95	16.7
50	0.78	1.19	1.47	2.10	2.77	3.33	3.64	4.70	5.28	6.20	6.88	7.33	7.90	8.79	10.85	12.62	14.87	17.9
100	0.85	1.28	1.60	2.31	3.08	3.73	4.09	5.40	6.05	7.10	7.83	8.27	8.78	9.78	11.82	13.49	15.71	18.9
200	0.93	1.39	1.72	2.52	3.41	4.15	4.57	6.17	6.89	8.10	8.88	9.27	9.70	10.84	12.80	14.34	16.50	19.9
500	1.03	1.52	1.90	2.81	3.88	4.75	5.24	7.31	8.13	9.58	10.41	10.77	11.06	12.33	14.12	15.42	17.47	21.1
1000	1.11	1.62	2.02	3.04	4.26	5.22	5.78	8.29	9.18	10.83	11.71	12.05	12.26	13.56	15.15	16.20	18.15	21.9

(a) Chicago, Ilinois 41.820 N 87.67 W 593 feet

Precipitation Data Analysis (Mays, 2012) (See Example 2.6, Gupta 2017)



Mon Feb 02 21:45:19 2009

Average recurrence interval (years)		
1 2 5 10 25	-*- 	100 — 200 → 500 - 1000 -

Figure 7.2.15a part 1 © John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.

Example of IDFs (Example 2.6)



Figure 2.6 Intensityduration-frequency curve.

Example of IDFs





Regression Analysis: IDFs (A common, simple relationship)

Linear Regression Analysis for IDF-relation $i = \frac{A}{F+B}$ (Eq. 2.15, Gupta, 2017) Considering Equation 2.15 (Gupta, 2017), which applies to a specific "return period in years, the following is an approach : where r2 = coefficient (or index) of determination r & correlation coefficient

Intensity-Duration-Frequency Curve: "IDFs"

Example 2.6 (i.e., development of IDFs) Equation 2.15 (e.g., empirical relationship) NWS IDF Maps: Eq. 2.15 Regional Constants

IDFs Constants for USA Regions

Figure 2.7 Map of similar rainfall characteristics (from Steel and McGhee, 1979).



IDF General Relationships

A general equation for the IDF relations is the following: C/ te + f where i = intensity T = return period or average recurrence interval t = storm duration c, e, f = best-fit statistical constants

Solving Problems with Gages (Refer to Section 2.6)

- Two regular problems:
 - Breaks in records
 - Changes in recording conditions
- Solutions Using Neighboring Gage Sites:
 - Estimation of Missing Data
 - Eq. 2.14: $P_x/N^x = 1/n (P_1/N_1 + P_2/N_2 + ... + P_n/N_n)$
 - Checking Data Consistency: Double Mass-Analysis:
 - Plot of accumulated values at test gage site versus accumulated values at base stations (See Figure 2.2)

Estimating Missing Data from Gages

(See Equation 2.14 in textbook: $P_x/N_x = 1/n [P_1/N_1 + P_2/N_2 +)$

("Additional example": file "1" in folder Module2<Precipitation in course website)

As an example, consider the following data:

Gage	Annual P (in.)	Storm-Event P (in.)
A	42	2.6
В	41	3.1
С	39	2.3
X	41	?

The storm-event catch at gage X is missing. Ten percent of the annual catch at gage X is 4.1 in., and the average annual catch at each of the three regional gages is within ± 4.1 in.; therefore, the station-average method can be used. The estimated catch at the gage with the missing storm-event total is

$$\hat{P} = \frac{1}{3}(2.6 + 3.1 + 2.3) = 2.67$$
 in. (4.9)

Using this method requires knowledge of the average annual catch, even though this information is not used in computing the estimate, \hat{P} .

Checking Data Consistency: Double-Mass Analysis (Example 2.3)

Figure 2.3 Double-mass curve for Example 2.3.


Point to Areal Precipitation: Determination of Average

- Arithmetic or Station Average Method (Example 2.4)
- Weighted Average Methods
 - Thiessen Polygon Method (Example 2.4)
 - Isohyetal Method (Example 2.5)

Next Generation Radar (NEXRAD)

Radar Measurements

What is it?

Reflection of electromagnetic waves from a radar antenna by raindrops

Reflection strength =

f(number and size of drops)

Distance from radar site to the area =

f(time between pulse emission and receipt of echo)

<u>Systems</u>

NWS installed a network of 161 Doppler radar systems in the 1990's: NEXRAD for next generation radar. WSR88D was deployed jointly by USDOE, USDOD and USDOT.

Other systems are operated by FAA, among other agencies

Application

Estimates temporal intensities over large areas

Combined with rain gage data results in estimates between areas

Less accurate than gage measurements

NEXRAD – Example NWS



NEXRAD – Example NWS (Cont.)

NWS JetStream - Doppler Redar: Base Reflectivity

Page 1 of 2



Base Reflectivity

reflectivity is excellent for surveying the region around the radar to look for precipitation. However, remember the radar beam increases in elevation as distance increases from the radar. This is due, in part, to the elevation angle itself but is more because the earth's surface curves away from the beam.

This can lead to underestimating the strength and intensity of distant storms. For this reason, it is wise to always check the radar images from different locations to help provide the overall picture of the weather in any particular area.

This image (right) is a sample base reflectivity image from the Doppler radar in Frederick, OK. The radar is located in the center of the image. The colors represent the strength of returned energy to the radar expressed in values of decibels (dBZ). The color scale is located at the lower right of each image.

These dBZ values equate to approximate rainfall rates indicated in the table right.	dBZ	(in/hr)
These are hourly rainfall rates only and are not the actual amounts of rain a location receives. The total amount of rain received varies with intensity changes in a storm		16+
		8.00
as well as the storm's motion over the ground.	55	4.00
Also, thunderstorms can contain hail which is often a good reflector of energy.		2.50
Typically, a hailstone is coated with a thin layer of water as it travels through the	47	1.25
thunderstorm cloud. This thin layer of water on the hailstone will cause a storm's reflectivity to be greater leading to a higher dBZ and an over estimate the amount	41	0.50
of rain received.	36	0.25
	30	0.10
to 65 dBZ is about the level where $\frac{3}{4}$ " hail can occur. However, a value of 60 to 65		Trace
dBZ does not mean that severe weather is occurring at that location.	< 20	No rain

Severe weather may be occurring with values less (or greater) than 60 to 65 dBZ due to ...

- Hail that is totally frozen (without a thin layer of water in the surface). "Dry hail" is a very poor reflector of energy and can lead to an *underestimate* of a storm's intensity.
- Atmospheric conditions such a ducting. When ducting occurs, the radar beam is refracted into the ground (indicating stronger storms than what are actually occurring). However a worse case is

Module 2: Hydrologic Cycle

Evaporation & Transpiration

CWR 3540: Water Resources Engineering FIU Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering Professor Fuentes

Evaporation & Transpiration

("losses from runoff or groundwater)

- Evaporation: water vaporized into atmosphere from free water surface and land areas
- <u>Transpiration</u>: water absorbed by plants and crops and eventually discharged into the atmosphere
- About 70% of the precipitation in the USA is returned to the atmosphere as either E, T or both, ET

Evaporation Estimates (and Measurements) from Free-Water Bodies

- Comparative methods: Pan Evaporation and Atmometers
- Aerodynamic Method
- Energy Balance Method
- Combination Method (of the aerodynamic and energy methods)

Standard NWS Evaporation Pans (with Cup Anemometers)



Figure 12.1.12a wikimedia commons

Class A Evaporation Pan $(E_L = KE_p)$



Figure 12.1.12b Courtesy of the National Weather Service

Evaporation Pans (from a free-water body, e.g., a lake)

- Most common method: Uses the Standard National Weather Service Class A Pan (4 ft diameter x 10 in depth, wooden frame 12 in above ground
- Equation 3.1: $E_L = K \times E_p$
 - E_L = evaporation from water body

$$E_p = evaporation from the pan$$

K = pan coefficient (0.6 to 0.8 range, and average of 0.7)

Equation 3.2, Kohler and Parmele (1967) corrects K to a K' for monthly and daily evaporation losses, based on vapor pressure measurements:

$$E_L = K' \{(e_{sl} - e_z)/(e_{sp} - e_z)\} \times E_p$$

Refer to Example 3.1

Aerodynamic Method Sketch (Source: Mays, 2012)

It considers the transport of water vapor by the turbulence of the wind over a natural surface





Aerodynamic Method

- Widely used for lakes and reservoirs
- Equations: 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5, with Table 3.1
 - $E_a = M(e_s e_z) u_z$ (LT⁻¹), where
 - e_a = evaporation by aerodynamic method
 - M =mass transfer coefficient
 - e_s = saturation vapor pressure at water T
 - $e_z = air vapor pressure at level z [= RH(e_z^o)]$
 - RH = relative humidity
 - u_z = wind velocity at level Z
- See Example 3.2 and Additional Example in Module 2

Reference Height for Roughness

(Used when wind speed is measured at an elevation other than that for determination (See Equation 3.5 or logarithmic law that accounts for surface roughness)

Table 3.1 Reference Height for Roughness					
Roughness class	Roughness length, Z ₀ , m	Landscape			
0	0.0002	Water surface			
0.5	0.0024	Open terrain			
1	0.03	Open agricultural area			
1.5–2.5	0.055–0.2	Agricultural land with houses			
3.0	0.4	Village, small town, forests			
3.5	0.8	Larger cities			
4.0	1.6	Very large cities			

Energy Balance Method Sketch





Energy Method

- It is highly data intensive
- Equation 3.8, estimated as Eq. 3.9 or 3.10 plus Eq. 3.11supported by Equations 3.7, 3.8 and Table 3.1
 - $E_r = [R_n G]/[\rho_w \lambda (1 + \beta)]$ [LT⁻¹], where
 - Where, R_n = net radiant energy (= S_n + R_b), which are the net short-wave radiation and the net long-wave radiation, respectively
 - β, Bowen ratio, is calculated with Eq. 3.7
 - Other parameters are defined in Tables 3.2 and 3.3/ as function of temperature
- See Example 3.3

Combined Method (of Penman)

- <u>Weighted</u> estimate from both the aerodynamic and energy methods
- Equation 3.13
 - $E = \Delta / [\Delta + \gamma] \times E_r + \Delta / [\Delta + \gamma] \times E_a$, where
 - E_r = evaporation by the energy method
 - E_a = evaporation by the aerodynamic method
 - Δ = gradient of saturated water pressure, listed in Table
 3.2 as function of temperature.
- See Example 3.4

$C_{p},\,e_{s},\Delta$ of $e_{s},\gamma,$ and λ

Table 3.2 Specific Heat, Saturated Vapor Pressure, Gradient, Psychrometric Constant, and Latent Heat of Vaporization at Standard Atmospheric Pressure

			Gradient of		
		Saturated	saturated	Psychrometric	Latent heat of
_	Specific Heat	vapor pressure	vapor pressure	constant γ, kPa	vaporization λ ,
Temperature °C	<i>c_p</i> , kJ∕kg °C	e _s , kPa	∆ , kPa °C⁻¹	°C-1	MJ/kg
0	4.218	0.611	0.044	0.0654	2.501
1	4.215	0.657	0.047	0.0655	2.499
2	4.211	0.706	0.051	0.0656	2.496
3	4.208	0.758	0.054	0.0656	2.494
4	4.205	0.814	0.057	0.0657	2.492
5	4.202	0.873	0.061	0.0658	2.489
6	4.200	0.935	0.065	0.0659	2.487
7	4.198	1.002	0.069	0.0659	2.484
8	4.196	1.073	0.073	0.0660	2.482
9	4.194	1.148	0.078	0.0660	2.480
10	4.192	1.228	0.082	0.0661	2.478
11	4.191	1.313	0.087	0.0661	2.475
12	4.190	1.403	0.093	0.0662	2.473
13	4.188	1.498	0.098	0.0663	2.470
14	4.187	1.599	0.104	0.0663	2.468
15	4.186	1.706	0.110	0.0664	2.466
16	4.185	1.819	0.116	0.0665	2.463
17	4.184	1.938	0.123	0.0665	2.461
18	4.184	2.065	0.130	0.0666	2.459
19	4.183	2.198	0.137	0.0666	2.456
20	4.182	2.337	0.145	0.0667	2.454
21	4.182	2.488	0.153	0.0668	2.451
22	4.181	2.645	0.161	0.0668	2.449
23	4.181	2.810	0.170	0.0669	2.447
24	4.180	2.985	0.179	0.0670	2.444
25	4.180	3.169	0.189	0.0670	2.442
26	4.180	3.363	0.199	0.0671	2.440
27	4.179	3.567	0.209	0.0672	2.437
28	4.179	3.781	0.220	0.0672	2.435
29	4.178	4.007	0.232	0.0673	2.433
30	4.178	4.243	0.243	0.0674	2.430
31	4.178	4.494	0.256	0.0674	2.428
32	4.178	4.756	0.269	0.0675	2.425
33	4.178	5.032	0.282	0.0676	2.423
34	4.178	5.321	0.296	0.0676	2.421
35	4.178	5.625	0.311	0.0677	2.418
36	4.178	5.943	0.326	0.0678	2.416
37	4.178	6.277	0.342	0.0678	2.414
38	4.178	6.627	0.358	0.0679	2.411
39	4.178	6.994	0.375	0.0680	2.409

ET from a Drainage basin

- Evapotranspirometers (i.e., experimental devices also referred to as *"lysimeters", if* drainage from the soil is also accounted for)
- Penman-Monteith ET Equation (after Eq. 3.13)
 - Equation 3.16 (p. 77), which defines two "resistances", one the aerodynamic resistance from a water surface by wind as water vapor transfer into the air above, r_a , and the resistance of water vapor to move from inside the plant to the air outside, r_s

Evapotranspirometers

(e.g., "lysimeters")

Example of Field Experiment



Example of System Drawing



Module 2: Hydrologic Cycle

Infiltration & Runoff

CWR 3540: Water Resources Engineering FIU Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering Professor Fuentes

Double-ring Infiltrometer



Figure 12.1.15 www.wikipedia.com

Infiltration Capacity Estimation

- Theory-based:
 - Infiltration Capacity and
 - Non-Linear Loss Rate Function
 - Green-Ampt Model (i.e., quite common)
- Empirical:
 - Horton's Equation
 - Holton's Equation & Others
- Methods for Direct Runoff that account for infiltration
 - Infiltration-Index Method
 - <u>NRCS (or "CN") Method</u>

Infiltration-Rainfall Behavior



(a)

Water content, θ



Rainfall Intensity and Infiltration Rate



Horton's Infiltration Model

- Horton (1939):
 - $-f_p = (f_o f_c) e^{-kt} + f_c$ (Eq. 4.2, p. 96), where
 - $f_o = initial infiltration rate$
 - f_c = final constant infiltration rate, equal to "apparent "soil" saturated hydraulic conductivity"
 - k = factor representing the rate of decrease (also referred to as *"recession constant"*)
 - See handout and example in course website

Table 4.1	Infiltration Capacity and Cumulated Infiltration				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Time	f_p	Δt^{a}	Average f_p^{b}	∆F ^c	F ^d
min	in./hr	min	in./hr	in.	in.
0	11.66				
		10	8.94	1.49	1.49
10	6.21				
		10	4.86	0.81	2.30
20	3.50				
		10	2.83	0.47	2.77
30	2.16		4.00		
10	1.40	10	1.83	0.31	3.08
40	1.49	10	1 2 2	0.22	2 20
50	1 16	10	1.33	0.22	3.30
50	1.10	10	1.08	0.18	3 / 8
60	0.99	10	1.00	0.10	5.40
00	0.99	10	0.95	0.16	3.64
70	0.91		0.00	00	2.01

^a Successive difference col. 1

^b Average of two successive values of col. 2

^c col. 3 × col. 4 ×
$$\left[\frac{1}{60}\frac{\text{hr}}{\text{min}}\right]$$

^d Cumulation of col. 5





Table 4.2 Revised Infiltration Capacity for the Storm of Example 4.1

(1)	(2)	(3)
ť	Time from beginning of storm	f_p using t'
min	<i>t</i> min	in./hr
0	20	7.5
10	30	4.14
20	40	2.47
30	50	1.65
40	60	1.24
50	70	1.03

Table 4.3	Computat	ions of R	ainfall Exc	ess by the H	orton Met	hod		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
Time	Revised infiltration capacity fp	∆t	Average f'_p	Cumulated ⊿F	Rainfall intensity i	$\varDelta P = i \varDelta t^{\rm a}$	$RO^b = \Delta P - \Delta$	∆F
min	in./hr	min	in./hr	in.	in./hr	in.	in.	
0								
10								
20	7.5							
		10	5.82	0.97	8.0	1.33	0.36	
30	4.14							
		10	3.31	0.55	5.0	0.83	0.28	
40	2.47)
		10	2.06	0.34	1.5	0.25	-0.09	
50	1.65							
		10	1.45	0.24	2.4	0.4	0.16	
							(–).09 ^c	
60	1.24						= 0.07	
		10	1.13	0.19	1.5	0.25	0.06	
70	1.03							

Note: Computations of col. (3), (4) and (5) are similar to Table 4.1.

^a Col. 6 × col. 3 ×
$$\left[\frac{1}{60}\frac{\text{hr}}{\text{min}}\right]$$

^bCol. 7 – col. 5

^c Negative value of the previous step.

Infiltration Index Method to Runoff

- See types of indices, including the simplest φ-Index option and its procedure in Section 4.5.
- Applications: Examples 4.6 and 4.7

Representation of the ϕ -Index



Figure 4.9 Representation of the ϕ index.

NRCS ("CN") Method for Direct Runoff

- $Q = (P 0.2S)^2/(P + 0.8S)$ [L], where
 - Q = accumulated runoff depth over the drainage area
 - P= accumulated rainfall depth
 - S = potential maximum retention of water by soil
- CN = 1000/(10 + S), where
 - CN = curve number (See Tables 4.10. 4.11, 4.12 and 4.13)
Hydrologic Soils Types

Table 4.10	Hydrologic Soil	Groups
------------	-----------------	--------

	Minimum Infiltration Rate	
Group	(in./hr)	Texture ^a
А	0.3–0.45	Sand, loamy sand, or sandy loam
В	0.15-0.30	Silt loam or loam
С	0.05-0.15	Sandy clay loam
D	0-0.05	Clay loam, silty clay loam, sandy clay, silty clay, or clay
a Dama alward fra		(100C)

^a Reproduced from U.S. Soil Conservation Service (1986).

Curve Numbers for AMC II

Table 4.11	Curve Numbers for Antecedent M						
		Hy	Hydrologic soil group				
Use	Cover Type	Treatment	Hydrologic Condition	A	В	С	D
Urban	Fully developed						
	Open space (lawns, parks)		Poor (cover < 50%)	68	79	86	89
			Fair	49	69	79	84
			Good (grass cover > 75%)	39	61	74	80
	Impervious areas (paved parking,						
	roofs, driveways, paved roads)			98	98	98	98
	Dirt roads			72	82	87	89
	Urban districts						
	Commercial and business			89	92	94	95
	Industrial			81	88	91	93
	Developing areas			77	86	91	94
Cultivated	Fallow	Bare soil		77	86	91	94
agriculture	Row crops	Straight row	Poor	72	81	88	91
lands		Straight row	Good	67	78	85	89
		Contoured	Poor	70	79	84	88
		Contoured	Good	65	75	82	86
		Contoured and terraced	Poor	66	74	80	82
		Contoured and terraced	Good	62	71	78	81
	Small grain	Straight row	Poor	65	76	84	88
		Straight row	Good	63	75	83	87
		Contoured	Poor	63	74	82	85
		Contoured	Good	61	73	81	84
		Contoured and terraced	Poor	61	72	79	82
		Contoured and terraced	Good	59	70	78	81
	Close-seeded	Straight row	Poor	66	77	85	89
	legumes	Straight row	Good	58	72	81	85
	or	Contoured	Poor	64	75	83	85
	rotation	Contoured	Good	55	69	78	83
	meadow	Contoured and terraced	Poor	63	73	80	83
		Contoured and terraced	Good	51	67	76	80

(continued)

Curve Numbers for AMC II

Table 4.11 Curve Numbers for Antecedent Moisture Condition II (Continued)

				Hydrologic soil group				
Use	Cover Type	Treatment	– Hydrologic Condition	А	В	С	D	
Agriculture lands	Pasture		Poor	68	79	86	89	
	or range		Fair	49	69	79	84	
			Good	39	61	74	80	
	Meadow			30	58	71	78	
	Woods		Poor	45	66	77	83	
			Fair	36	60	73	79	
			Good	30	55	70	77	
	Farmsteads (building, lanes, driveways)			59	74	82	86	
Arid and semiarid	Herbaceous (mixture of grass,		Poor (< 30% ground cover)		80	87	93	
rangelands	weeds, and low-growing brush)	Fair		71	81	89		
			Good (> 70% cover)		62	74	85	
	Oak-aspen (mountain brush mixture)	Poor		66	74	79		
			Fair		48	57	63	
			Good		30	41	48	
	Pinyon–juniper	Poor		75	85	89		
			Good		41	61	71	
	Sagebrush with grass understory		Poor		67	80	85	
		Good		35	47	55		
	Desert shrub	Poor	63	77	85	88		
			Fair	55	72	81	86	
			Good	49	68	79	84	
Source: Condense	d from U.S. Soil Conservation Service (1986).							

Curve Numbers for AMC II

Table 4.12 Antecedent Moisture Condition

Category	Condition
I	Dry soil but not to the wilting point
П	Average conditions
	Saturated soils; heavy rainfall or light rainfall with low temperatures has occurred in the last 5 days

Curve Numbers for AMC I and II As Function of AMC II

Curve Number for Condition Corresponding Curve Number for Condition Ш Т

Table 4.13 Cross-Linking of Curve Numbers for Various Antecedent Moisture Conditions

Source: U.S. Soil Conservation Service (1972).

Runoff Depth As Function of CN

Table 4.14 Runoff Depth for Selected CNs and Rainfall Amounts ^a													
Runoff Depth (in.) for Curve Number of:													
Rainfall	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	98
0.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.06	0.17	0.32
1.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.08	0.17	0.32	0.56	0.79
1.2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.07	0.15	0.27	0.46	0.74	0.99
1.4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.06	0.13	0.24	0.39	0.61	0.92	1.18
1.6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.05	0.11	0.20	0.34	0.52	0.76	1.11	1.38
1.8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.29	0.44	0.65	0.93	1.29	1.58
2.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.06	0.14	0.24	0.38	0.56	0.80	1.09	1.48	1.77
2.5	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.17	0.30	0.46	0.65	0.89	1.18	1.53	1.96	2.27
3.0	0.00	0.02	0.09	0.19	0.33	0.51	0.71	0.96	1.25	1.59	1.98	2.45	2.77
3.5	0.02	0.08	0.20	0.35	0.53	0.75	1.01	1.30	1.64	2.02	2.45	2.94	3.27
4.0	0.06	0.18	0.33	0.53	0.76	1.03	1.33	1.67	2.04	2.46	2.92	3.43	3.77
4.5	0.14	0.30	0.50	0.74	1.02	1.33	1.67	2.05	2.46	2.91	3.40	3.92	4.26
5.0	0.24	0.44	0.69	0.98	1.30	1.65	2.04	2.45	2.89	3.37	3.88	4.42	4.76
6.0	0.50	0.80	1.14	1.52	1.92	2.35	2.81	3.28	3.78	4.30	4.85	5.41	5.76
7.0	0.84	1.24	1.68	2.12	2.60	3.10	3.62	4.15	4.69	5.25	5.82	6.41	6.76
8.0	1.25	1.74	2.25	2.78	3.33	3.89	4.46	5.04	5.63	6.21	6.81	7.40	7.76
9.0	1.71	2.29	2.88	3.49	4.10	4.72	5.33	5.95	6.57	7.18	7.79	8.40	8.76
10.0	2.23	2.89	3.56	4.23	4.90	5.56	6.22	6.88	7.52	8.16	8.78	9.40	9.76
11.0	2.78	3.52	4.26	5.00	5.72	6.43	7.13	7.81	8.48	9.13	9.77	10.39	10.76
12.0	3.38	4.19	5.00	5.79	6.56	7.32	8.05	8.76	9.45	10.11	10.76	11.39	11.76
13.0	4.00	4.89	5.76	6.61	7.42	8.21	8.98	9.71	10.42	11.10	11.76	12.39	12.76
14.0	4.65	5.62	6.55	7.44	8.30	9.12	9.91	10.67	11.39	12.08	12.75	13.39	13.76
15.0	5.33	6.36	7.35	8.29	9.19	10.04	10.85	11.63	12.37	13.07	13.74	14.39	14.76

^a Interpolate the values shown to obtain runoff depths for CNs or rainfall amounts not shown.

Source: U.S. Soil Conservation Service (1986).

Florida air quality check: Thank Canada fire smoke for hazy Florida (newsjournalonline.com)

Module 2: Hydrologic Cycle

Streamflow

CWR 3540: Water Resources Engineering FIU Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering Professor Fuentes

Streams, Rivers & Canals













Stream Channel Velocity-Distribution

Figure 8.5 Typical velocity distribution in a stream channel.



Determination of Streamflow (or Discharge)

 Streamflow quantity, space distribution and time variability are required to plan and design any surface water supply or hydraulic structure.

Measurement & Estimation

- Measurement of Streamflow
 - Direct measurement or stream gaging
 - Through hydraulic devices (for small stream and open channels, for instance, weirs, flumes, etc.)
 - Indirect measurement of peak flows
- Estimation of Streamflow
 - Application of precipitation data
 - Extension of gage-sites data
 - Generation of synthetic flows
 - Use of generalized data, charts, tables and empirical approaches

Stream Gaging

- Stream gaging or hydrometry measures the water stage (level or depth) and discharge at a gaging station to collect a continuous record
- A number of gaging stations in a watershed, basin or drainage area form a hydrologic network
- The US Geological Survey operates a nationwide stream-gaging network (+7,600)

Stage-Discharge Relationship: ("Rating Curve")

Figure 8.23 Log-log plot of stage and discharge data for Example 8.11.



Stage Measurement

- Stilling Well with Float Sensor (Fig. 8.1)
- Pressure System with Bubble-Gage Sensor (Fig. 8.2) (e.g., nitrogen)
- Radar Stage Measurement (Fig. 8.3) (e.g., sensor-emitted electromagnetic waves echoed back to the sensor)

Stage Measurement

Figure 8.1 Stilling well for a float-type recorder (from Herschy, 1985a).



Bubble-gage Measurement

Figure 8.2 Bubble-gage installation (from Herschy, 1985a).



Radar Stage Measurement

(Figure 8.3, Gupta, 2017)



Single Stage-Discharge Relation

- Equation 8.25:
 - $Q = A (h \pm a)^n$ where
 - Q = discharge
 - H = gage height
 - a = stage reading at zero flow (i.e., datum correction)

A, n = constants to be determined for each case

Or

 $Log Q = n log (h \pm a) + log A (of the form Y = M X + N)$

- See Sections 8.19.1 and 8.21 for regression analysis

Defining "a": Trial and Error Procedure

Figure 8.22 Trial-and-error procedure to determine the stage of zero flow.



Discharge Measurement

- Volumetric flow rate: $Q = \int a \cdot dv = \sum a_i \cdot v_i$ (L T⁻³)
- Methods of measurement:
 - Current meter method (e.g., "Price"; mechanical meter, Fig. 8.4)
 - Hydroacoustic method (Acoustic Doppler velocity meter, ADCP, or sound pulse of certain frequency from and reflected back to transducer), with GPS to track the stream bottom (Fig. 8.16)
 - Ultrasonic method (ultrasonic velocity meter, UVM)
 - Electromagnetic method (based on electromagnetic induction, electrodes on banks and coils buried in stream section)

Methods to Measure Velocity and Area to Determine Discharge

- Current Meter
- Hydroacoustic (e.g., acoustic Doppler velocity meter, ADVM): sent and reflected back acoustic signal of 10 MHz frequency
- Ultrasonic (ultrasonic velocity meter, UVM)
- Electromagnetic (based on electromagnetic induction): measures electric current from water – a conductor - moving in magnetic field

Price Current Meter

Figure 8.4 Assembly of a type AA current meter (courtesy of Geophysical Instrument and Supply Co.).



Discharge Computation by Current Meter – Mid-Section Method *Example 8.7*

Figure 8.9 Subsection in the midsection method.



Discharge Computation by Current Meter – Mean-Section Method *Example 8.8*

Figure 8.10 Subsection in the mean-section method.



Alternative Procedures to Cross the Stream or River: Using Current Meters

- By wading
- From a bridge
- From a cableway
- By boat
- Over ice cover

Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler, ADCP



Links to USGS: Streamflow Measurements

• <u>Acoustic</u>

<u>https://www.usgs.gov/centers/sa-</u> <u>water/science/hydroacoustic-applications-technological-</u> <u>advancements-streamgaging-network?qt-</u> <u>science_center_objects=0#qt-science_center_objects</u>

• <u>General</u>

https://www.usgs.gov/special-topic/water-scienceschool/science/how-streamflow-measured?qtscience_center_objects=0#qt-science_center_objects